# Metallographic observations during the sintering of BM2 type of high speed steels

P. MAULIK\*

Engineering Materials Group, School of Mechanical Engineering, University of Bradford, BD7 1DP, UK

Metallographic changes during the sintering of BM2 type of high speed steels have been investigated by scanning electron microscopy, in the range of optimum sintering to oversintering. The primary carbides observed are  $M_6C$  and a small quantity of MC; in the oversintered structure an additional carbide with eutectic morphology was seen. It is a chromium and molybdenum rich phase in BM2+ 0 to 4% cobalt (0.9 to 1.2% carbon) alloy, whereas in BM2+ 8% cobalt (0.9% carbon) the eutectic phase is MC. Under certain conditions  $M_3C$  was also detected in the post-sintered alloy.

# 1. Introduction

Powder metallurgical routes for the fabrication of high speed tool steels are now well established [1]. The first stage of the process is the production of pre-alloyed powder, either by water or inert gas atomization. The powder particles can be regarded as ingots of  $\sim 10^2$  to  $10^1 \,\mu$ m size, because of the microstructural similarity with those of the conventional material; the only difference being the sub-micrometre size of the features in the powder microstructure and this is due to the rapid cooling,  $10^3$  to  $10^6 \,^\circ \text{C sec}^{-1}$ , during atomization [2–4].

## 1.1. Phases observed in the cast structure

The primary carbides observed in the solidified BT1 high speed steel (0.8 C, 4 Cr, 0.4 Mo, 1 V, 18 W weight %) are  $M_6C$ , MC and  $M_{23}C_6$ , but in the molybdenum bearing BM2 (0.8 C, 4 Cr, 5 Mo, 2 V, 6 W), in addition to  $M_6C$  and MC carbides  $M_2C$  is also present; there is some evidence that  $M_7C_3$  and  $M_{23}C_6$  carbides may also occur in the latter steel [5]. M represents a group of atoms, e.g. Cr, Fe, Mo, V and W. Some of the primary carbides take the eutectic morphology; in BT1 the eutectic carbide has been identified as  $M_6C$  [5], while in BM2 it is reported to be either  $M_2C$  or  $M_6C$  [6–10].

# 1.2. Phases observed in the as-atomized powder and sintered material

Kato [2] reported that, in as-atomized JIS SKH57 steel (1.51 C, 10.05 Co, 4.20 Cr, 0.24 Mn, 3.85 Mo, 0.32 Si, 3.72 V, 10.12 W, similar to BT42), the primary carbides are MC and  $M_2C$ . On heating above 700° C  $M_2C$  decomposes and  $M_6C$  appears in the structure; MC is already present in the structure.

Kumar *et al.* [11] examined nitrogen atomized BT15 (1.5 C, 5.22 Co, 4.6 Cr, 4.85 V, 12.19 W) and its cobalt free counterpart Rex 25 (1.79 C, 3.01 Cr, 6.52 Mo, 4.63 V, 13.29 W). Both of these alloys in

the atomized state contained  $M_6C$  carbide and MC carbide in cubic and hexagonal form. In the hot isostatically pressed samples, the carbides detected were MC,  $M_6C$ , and  $M_{23}C_6$ .  $M_{23}C_6$  was attributed to the slow cooling from the processing temperature.

Ozerskii *et al.* [4] found that MC,  $M_2C$ , and  $M_6C$  are the primary carbides present in the nitrogen atomized R6M5 alloy powder (1.27 C, 3.7 Cr, 5 Mo, 0.053 N, 2.14 V, 6.22 W, similar to BM2). In the oversintered BM2 and BT15 the eutectic phase has been suggested to be  $M_6C$  [12].

The carbides in steel can dissolve alloying elements as solid solution [13] and the composition of the carbides can be determined by solid state micro-analytical techniques like energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDXS), provided that these phases are larger than the resolving power of the instrument, which is typically of the order of  $\sim 2$  to  $3 \mu$ m. However the phases present in the powder particles are sub-micrometre in size, which precludes this form of composition determination of the phases in the powder particles.

It is generally accepted that around the optimum sintering temperature, liquid phase occurs in the microstructure of the high speed steels; evidences to support this view are based on metallographic observations and are often indirect in nature [14]. The optimum sintering temperature is defined as the temperature at which near full densification takes place without any undesirable coarsening of the microstructure and formation of the continuous grain boundary film. If the sintering is carried out at a temperature close to the macroscopic fusion point evidence of liquid phase can be seen in the microstructure in the shape of the carbides with eutectic morphology. Thus oversintering can simulate a structure transformed from liquid  $\rightarrow$  solid or liquid + solid  $\rightarrow$  solid. The carbides present in the sintered structure are large enough for their composition to be determined by EDXS.

\*Present address: Brico Engineering, Holbrook Lane, Coventry, CV6 4BG, UK.



Figure 1 Correctly sintered BM2 (0.92 C, 0.50 Co, 4.10 Cr, 0.05 Mn, 5.15 Mo, 0.15 Ni, 0.50 Si, 1.9 V, 6.50 W); (a) sintered 1250°C, (b) sintered 1250°C and transform annealed 900°C,  $0.5 h \rightarrow 750^{\circ}$ C,  $5 h \rightarrow natural cool$ 

Spectrum	Phase	Cr	Fe	Мо	Si	v	W	
1	M <sub>6</sub> C	3.24	31.65	19.25	1.33	5.31	39.23	BM2
2	мČ	4.74	5.76	15.81		47.73	25.66	correctly
3	matrix	4.36	87.63	2.69	0.55	1.45	3.29	sintered 1250° C, 1a
4	M <sub>6</sub> C	4.07	31.28	20.27		4.54	39.73	BM2
5	МČ	5.55	3.75	22.83	-	46.79	20.54	sintered and
6	matrix	4.36	82.96	3.65		1.32	6.86	annealed, 1b

The composition of the primary carbides in the sintered BT42 steel over the entire sintering range has been described earlier [14–16]. The present study mainly concerns with BM2 type of steels. The composition of the primary carbides and their genesis have been discussed in the paper.

follows

heat  $14^{\circ} C \min^{-1} \rightarrow$  sintering temperature, sinter 1 h

 $\rightarrow$  cool, average rate 14° C min<sup>-1</sup>

## upto 850° C

# 2. Experimental details

Water atomized annealed powders of different compositions were cold compacted to about 70% theoretical density and sintered under vacuum 13.3 nbar  $(1.33 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Pa}, 10^{-5} \text{ torr})$ . The thermal cycle was as





Figure 2 Cobalt modified BM2 (0.85 C, 8.28 Co, 4.04 Cr, 0.20 Mn, 4.75 Mo, 0.14 Ni, 0.32 Si, 1.69 V, 6.23 W), sintered 1260°C, oversintering by about 10°C; areas marked 1 and 3 suggest the occurrence of liquid phase

Spectrum	Phase	Со	Cr	Fe	Мо	Si	v	w
1	M <sub>6</sub> C	3.43	2.99	27.69	19.19	0.95	3.97	4.06
2	мс		5.22	4.69	22.37	_	44.19	23.48
3	matrix	8.96	4.02	80.47	1.63	0.41	1.06	3.46
4	matrix	8.38	4.06	76.24	3.44	0.66	1.77	5.25

-below detection level



Figure 3 Cobalt modified BM2 (0.84 C, 2.45 Co, 4.50 Cr, 0.05 Mn, 5.20 Mo, 0.25 Ni, 0.40 Si, 1.44 V, 6.20 W), sintered 1275° C, oversintering ~ 10° C

Spectrum	Phase	Co	Cr	Fe	Мо	Si	v	w
1	M <sub>6</sub> C	0.69	3.86	30.67	21.66	1.85	3.71	37.56
2	MC	_	4.83	3.34	22.54	1.98	44.94	22.37
3	eutectic morphology	-	18.68	23.46	33.78		10.86	12.65

Composition of the BM2 type of steel was modified by the additions of cobalt, carbon and silicon. The cobalt modified alloys contained 2, 4 and 8% cobalt, the carbon modified alloys contained 0, 2 and 4% cobalt; the silicon modified alloy contained about 1.5% carbon and 2% silicon. The detailed composition of the cobalt and carbon modified alloys are given elsewhere [17] and that of the silicon modified alloys is given in the next section.

#### 3. Results

Fig. 1 records the typical SEM back scattered micrograph from a correctly sintered material, composition of the alloy and those of the metallic constituents of the phases, in weight %, have also been included. The large grain boundary primary carbides are  $M_6C$  and MC – the former being the major constituent. With the increase in the sintering temperature the carbides coarsened and there is a tendency towards the formation of grain boundary film, consisting mainly of MC, as shown in Fig. 2; this sample has been oversintered by about 10° C. The island-like feature marked 1 and 3 occurred mainly with the  $M_6C$  carbides and has been observed in other systems as well [14]. Microstructures of the specimens sintered close to the macroscopic fusion point (oversintered by  $\sim 20$  to 25° C) are given in Figs 3 to 5. Some distortion was noted in these samples. It is important to stress that, in the materials for practical applications these structures are avoided and only studied here because on sintering in this



Figure 4 Cobalt modified BM2 (composition same as Fig. 2, 8.28 Co), sintered 1270°C, oversintering ~20°C; only MC eutectic was observed

Spectrum	Phase	Со	Cr	Fe	Мо	Si	V	W
1	M <sub>6</sub> C	3.31	3.75	27.68	19.37	0.71	4.14	41.09
2	MC eutectic morphology	_`	5.93	5.68	23.61	_	42.01	22.43
3	matrix	8.49	4.24	78.10	2.90	0.58	1.13	4.38

-below detection level



Figure 5 Experimental alloy based on the composition of BM2 (similar to Fig. 1, except 1.52 C, 2 Si) sintered 1250° C, oversintering  $\sim 30^{\circ}$  C, resulted in fusion

Spectrum	Phase	Cr	Fe	Мо	Si	v	W
1	M <sub>4</sub> C	3.09	29.27	19.50	2.18	3.34	41.47
2	MC	5.09	5.90	18.65	-	45.57	26.79
3	eutectic	22.99	58.00	9.17	-	4.34	4.39
4	matrix	5.38	90.29	1.34	2.02	0.37	2.05

temperature range carbides of eutectic morphology can be seen. Within the limit of the experimental scatter the composition of the phases does not appear to be dependent on the sintering temperature and carbon content. This also appears to be the case with BT42 [14]. The average composition of the phases (Table I) falls in the range as observed in other laboratories on conventional BM2 [6, 7, 18, 19]. The present study also confirms that cobalt is present in the matrix and  $M_6C$  carbide [14, 16, 19, 20]. In the current series of alloys two types of carbides with eutectic morphology have been seen in the highly oversintered alloys.

(i) Alloys with 0 to 4% cobalt, 0.9 to 1.2% carbon and high silicon alloy: In the former material the phase with the eutectic morphology contains about 20% chromium and 36% molybdenum (Figs 3 and 5), where as the chromium level in other phases is about 4 to 5%. A similar carbide occurs in the oversintered BT42 [14]. In the high silicon alloy the eutectic carbide contains about 23% chromium and 9% molybdenum. Recently a high chromium containing M<sub>2</sub>C carbide has been observed in ASP [20] and BM42 alloys [21]. The composition of the eutectic carbides in Table I does not conform to that of M<sub>2</sub>C.

(ii) Alloy with 8% cobalt and 0.9% carbon: In this system vanadium-rich MC carbide has been found to occur as the eutectic type of carbide (Fig. 4) and no chromium-rich carbide was observed. This is rather surprising, since the solubility of cobalt in the MC carbide is negligible and in the high cobalt bearing BT42 steel MC eutectic was not seen under similar conditions [14]; however a limited amount of MC carbides of eutectic morphology was seen in BT42 when sintered to fusion [16].

Throughout the sintering range studied, in the post-sintered structure, pearlite containing  $M_3C$  was observed in BT42, BM2 + 8% cobalt and BM2 + 0 to 4% cobalt, 1.2% carbon alloys. Only a small quan-

tity of  $M_3C$  occurred if the carbon content is less than 0.9%. This phase was inferred from the nital etching characteristics [17]. It should be pointed out that the reliable estimation of silicon by EDXS may not be possible in the presence of high tungsten content.

# 4. Discussion

It has been mentioned earlier that MC, M<sub>2</sub>C, and M<sub>6</sub>C are the carbides present in the atomized and cast BM2 steels. The maximum annealing temperature of the water atomized powder is about 1050° C [22]; at this temperature, although the decomposition of M<sub>2</sub>C according to the reaction M<sub>2</sub>C +  $\gamma \rightarrow$  MC + M<sub>6</sub>C may not reach completion in the cast structure, in the as-atomized structure, because of the fine carbide size, total decomposition M<sub>2</sub>C can be expected. Hence it is concluded that MC and M<sub>6</sub>C are only primary carbides present in the annealed atomized BM2 powder. This appears to be the situation in BT42 [2].

From the results of Fedricksson *et al.* [7] and Lenta *et al.* [10] it is likely that around the optimum sintering temperature ( $\sim 1250^{\circ}$  C), M<sub>2</sub>C should not be present in the microstructure and majority of the MC and M<sub>6</sub>C should be in solution especially because of their fine size in the original powder particles. Their results also suggest localized liquidation in this temperature range, but the present study does not show any clear evidence of fusion near the optimum sintering temperature.

Development of the final microstructure during sintering need not follow the same pattern as that by the conventional material on heating, namely if a liquid phase occurs during sintering the surface energies control the morphology of the phases [23], while prolonged heating leads to the spheroidization of the phases [9]. In the present series of experiments at the majority of sites MC and  $M_6C$  carbides occurred together, but their morphology does not suggest that their genesis is

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Alloy	Phase	Comp	Reference						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Co	Cr	Fe	Мо	Si	V	W	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2		_	4	31	19	1	4	41	present
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2 + 2 Co		0.6	4	33	21	0.7	3	37	study, as-sintered
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2 + 4 Co		2	3	29	21	1	4	40	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2 + 8 Co		3	3	29	19	1	4	40	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2	M <sub>6</sub> C		4.8	29.4	25.5		4.2	31.7	cast [6]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2	carbide		7	33	22		5	33	cast and reheated [7]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2			3	34.1	20.3		4.05	38.3	cast and reheated [9]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2			5.1	31.5	25.6		4.7	29.1	deformed and hardened [17]
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2 + 8 Co		0.2	7.2	7.9	30.3		12.5	39.0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			3.2	3.5	37.0	21.7		5.3	28.0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2			3.7	35.1	18.9		3.4	36.0	deformed and hardened [18]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2		-	4	4	20	-	49	23	present study, as-sintered
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2 + 2 Co		_	5	5	24	-	45	21	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2 + 4 Co		-	4	4	25	-	45	20	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2 + 8 Co	MC	_	5	4	22	_	44	24	
BM2 8 3 14 - 10 42 - 57 22 - 15 cast and reheated [7]   BM2 5.1 1.1 14.5 46.3 33 cast and reheated [7]   BM2 2.6 9.0 53.1 12.6 deformed and hardened [17]   BM2 2.6 9.0 53.1 12.6 deformed and hardened [17]   BM2 0.2 3.7 2.7 21.0 40.2 19.5 hardened [17]   BM2 eutectic - 18 23 35 - 11 13 present   BM2 + 2 Co carbide - 18 23 36 - 12 14 study, as-sintered   BM2 + 4 Co - 16 28 30 - 9 16 study, as-sintered   BM2 + 8 Co MC - 6 5 24 - 42 22 22 -   BM2 7.0 7.4 30.5 13.7 35 cast [5] cast and reheated [7]   BM2 M <sub>2</sub> C 7.6 7.2 21.3 14.7	BM2	carbide	too si	nall for a	ccurate and	alvsis				cast [6]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2			8	3	14 - 10		42 — 57	22 - 15	cast and reheated [7]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2			5.1	1.1	14.5		46.3	33	cast and reheated [7]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2				2.6	9.0		53.1	12.6	deformed
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				6.1	2.7	10.3		45.3	15.7	and
BM2 not reported deformed and hardened [18]   BM2 eutectic - 18 23 35 - 11 13 present   BM2 + 2 Co carbide - 18 20 36 - 12 14 study, as-sintered   BM2 + 4 Co - 16 28 30 - 9 16   BM2 + 8 Co MC - 6 5 24 - 42 22   BM2 7.0 7.4 30.5 13.7 35 cast [5]   BM2 7.6 7.2 21.3 14.7 49.3 cast and reheated [7]   BM2 mot reported not reported 22 38.3 deformed and hardened [17]   BM2 M_2C 7.6 7.2 21.3 14.7 49.3 cast and reheated [9]   BM2 not reported 16.0 10.0 33.3 22 38.3   BM2 - 4 86 3 0.6 1 4 present   BM2 + 8 Co 8 4 77	BM2 + 8 Co		0.2	3.7	2.7	21.0		40.2	19.5	hardened [17]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2		not re	eported						deformed and hardened [18]
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2	eutectic	_	18	23	35	_	11	13	present
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2 + 2 Co	carbide		18	20	36	-	12	14	study, as-sintered
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2 + 4 Co		-	16	28	30	-	9	16	
BM2 7.0 7.4 30.5 13.7 35 cast [5]   BM2 7 5 34 12 42 cast and reheated [7]   BM2 $M_2C$ 7.6 7.2 21.3 14.7 49.3 cast and reheated [9]   BM2 $M_2C$ 7.6 7.2 21.3 14.7 49.3 cast and reheated [9]   BM2 not reported aeformed and hardened [17] deformed and hardened [17] deformed and hardened [18]   BM2 $-$ 4 86 3 0.6 1 4 present   BM2 + 2 Co Matrix 1.7 4 82 4 0.4 2 4 study, as-sintered   BM2 + 4 Co 4 4 82 3 0.7 2 4 as-sintered   BM2 + 8 Co 8 4 77 3 0.5 2 5 5	BM2 + 8 Co	MC eutectic	-	6	5	24	-	42	22	
BM2 7 5 34 12 42 cast and reheated [7]   BM2 $M_2C$ 7.6 7.2 21.3 14.7 49.3 cast and reheated [7]   BM2 $M_2C$ 7.6 7.2 21.3 14.7 49.3 cast and reheated [9]   BM2 not reported aeformed and hardened [17] deformed and hardened [17] deformed and hardened [17]   BM2 not reported - 4 86 3 0.6 1 4 present   BM2 + 2 Co Matrix 1.7 4 82 4 0.4 2 4 study, BM2 + 4 Co   BM2 + 8 Co 8 4 77 3 0.5 2 5	BM2			7.0	7.4	30.5		13.7	35	cast [5]
BM2 $M_2C$ carbide 7.6 7.2 21.3 14.7 49.3 cast and reheated [9]   BM2 not reported offormed and hardened [17] deformed and hardened [17]   BM2 4.1 6.0 10.0 33.3 22 38.3   BM2 not reported deformed and hardened [18] deformed and hardened [18]   BM2 - 4 86 3 0.6 1 4 present   BM2 + 2 Co Matrix 1.7 4 82 4 0.4 2 4 study, as-sintered   BM2 + 4 Co 4 4 82 3 0.7 2 4 as-sintered   BM2 + 8 Co 8 4 77 3 0.5 2 5	BM2			7	5	34		12	42	cast and reheated [7]
BM2 not reported deformed and hardened [17]   BM2 + 8 Co 4.1 6.0 10.0 33.3 22 38.3   BM2 not reported deformed and hardened [18] deformed and hardened [18]   BM2 + 2 Co Matrix 1.7 4 82 4 0.4 2 4 study, BM2 + 4 Co   BM2 + 8 Co 8 4 77 3 0.5 2 5	BM2	M <sub>2</sub> C carbide		7.6	7.2	21.3		14.7	49.3	cast and reheated [9]
BM2 + 8 Co 4.1 6.0 10.0 33.3 22 38.3   BM2 not reported adeformed and hardened [18]   BM2 + 2 Co Matrix 1.7 4 82 4 0.4 2 4 study, BM2 + 4 Co   BM2 + 8 Co 8 4 77 3 0.5 2 5	BM2			not re	eported					deformed and hardened [17]
BM2 not reported deformed and hardened [18]   BM2 - 4 86 3 0.6 1 4 present   BM2 + 2 Co Matrix 1.7 4 82 4 0.4 2 4 study,   BM2 + 4 Co 4 482 3 0.7 2 4 as-sintered   BM2 + 8 Co 8 4 77 3 0.5 2 5	BM2 + 8 Co		4.1	6.0	10.0	33.3		22	38.3	
BM2-48630.614presentBM2 + 2 CoMatrix1.748240.424study,BM2 + 4 Co448230.724as-sinteredBM2 + 8 Co847730.525	BM2			not re	eported					deformed and hardened [18]
BM2 + 2 Co Matrix 1.7 4 82 4 0.4 2 4 study,   BM2 + 4 Co 4 4 82 3 0.7 2 4 as-sintered   BM2 + 8 Co 8 4 77 3 0.5 2 5	BM2		_	4	86	3	0.6	1	4	present
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BM2 + 2 Co	Matrix	1.7	4	82	4	0.4	2	4	study
BM2 + 8 Co 8 4 77 3 0.5 2 5	BM2 + 4 Co		4	4	82	3	0.7	2	4	as-sintered
	BM2 + 8 Co		8	4	77	3	0.5	2	5	

TABLE I Composition of the phases observed in BM2 and cobalt modified BM2 alloys, determined by EDXS, some of the published results by other workers are also included

in the decomposition of  $M_2C$  into MC and  $M_6C$  as observed by other workers in the conventional material [7, 9, 10].

The matrix structure is due to solid state precipitation and occurs at a relatively low temperature; this compares well with the results of Ghomaschi and Sellers (Fig. 1c, [9]). In the present series of alloys the heterogeneous grain boundary nucleation of the carbides occurs at higher temperature and any unequivocal conclusion if the morphology is due to liquid  $\rightarrow$ solid transformation, is not possible yet. It is appropriate to mention that the morphology of the solidified liquid phase in the conventional material by Fedricksson *et al.* [7] and Lenta *et al.* [10] is not the same as noted by Takajo and Nitta [24] in the powder metallurgical alloy. A liquid phase field is reached on oversintering by about 20 to  $25^{\circ}$  C and on cooling a phase with eutectic morphology appears in the final microstructure – it is a chromium-rich phase in BM2 + 0 to 4% cobalt alloy and vanadium rich MC in BM2 + 8% cobalt alloy. These carbides are stable in the post-sintered structure.

#### 5. Conclusions

(1) At the optimum sintering temperature  $\sim 1250^{\circ}$  C, the majority of the carbides are expected to be in solution in the present series of alloys.

(2) During cooling from the sintering temperature the primary carbides precipitate at a higher temperature and these occur mainly at the grain boundaries, precipitation of finer carbides within the matrix takes place at a relatively lower temperature.

(3) At higher sintering temperature carbides of eutectic morphology also appear and these do not decompose appreciably during cooling.

(4) EDXS suggests that the typical metallic constituents of the carbides in weight % are

	Со	Cr	Fe	Mo	Si	v	W
$\overline{M_6C}$	0-3	4	30	20	1	4	40
MC		5	5	24		45	20

These occurred as primary grain boundary carbides. The composition of the carbides of eutectic morphology in BM2 + 0 to 4% cobalt, 0.9 to 1.2% carbon alloys is 20 Cr, 20 Fe, 36 Mo, 11 V, 13 W; in BM2 + 8% cobalt, 0.9% carbon alloy it is MC.

(5) In high carbon and 8% cobalt alloy  $M_3C$  bearing pearlite is a common feature, while in BM2 + 0 to 4% cobalt, 0.9% carbon alloys only traces of pearlite were seen in the post-sintered structure.

#### Acknowledgements

Thanks are due to Mr W. J. C. Price and Dr A. S. Wronski for encouragement and Dr M. R. Rebbeck for experimental help. The project was supported by Edgar Allen Tools Co. Ltd, Sheffield and the Science and Engineering Research Council.

#### References

- 1. Anon, Metal Powder Report 38 (1983) issues 4, 9.
- 2. T. KATO, ibid. 38 (1983) 505.

- 3. Anon. ibid. 38 (1983) 513.
- 4. A. B. OZERSKII, H. F. FISCHMEISTER, L. R. OLSSON and G. A. PANOVA, *Met. Sci. Heat Treatment* (Engl. transl.) **3** (1984) 204.
- 5. T. MUKERJEE, in "Materials for Metal Cutting", (The Iron and Steel Institution, London, 1970) p. 80.
- 6. R. H. BARKALOW, R. W. CRAFT and O. J. I. GOLDSTEIN, Metall. Trans. 3 (1972) 919.
- H. FEDRICKSSON, M. HILLART, M. NICA, Scand. J. Metall. 8 (1979) 115.
- 8. H. FEDRICKSSON and M. NICA, ibid. 8 (1979) 243.
- 9. M. R. GHOMASCHI and C. M. SELLERS, *Metal Sci.* 8 (1984) 44.
- E. J. LENTA, M. E. TWENTYMAN and H. PERSCI, Metallography 16 (1983) 387.
- 11. S. KUMAR, A. FAREED, M. J. KOCZAK and A. LAWLEY, Prog. Powder Met. 39 (1983) 627.
- J. V. BEE, J. V. WOOD, P. R. BREWIN and D. P. NURTHEN, Proceedings US PM Conference, 1985, MPIF Prog. Powder Mat. 41 (1985) 217.
- 13. R. W. K. HONEYCOMBE, "Steel Microstructure and Properties" (Edward Arnold, London, 1982) p. 66.
- 14. P. MAULIK and W. J. C. PRICE, *Powder Metall.* 30 (1987) 240.
- 15. C. S. WRIGHT and R. S. IRANI, J. Mater. Sci. 19 (1984) 3389.
- 16. P. MAULIK, Scr. Metall. 22 (1988) 441.
- 17. P. MAULIK and W. J. C. PRICE, Powder Metall. 30 (1987) 165.
- 18. A. FISCHER and E. KOHLHASS, Practical Metallography 12 (1975) 393.
- 19. E. HABERLING, E. ROSE and H. H. WEIGAND, Stahl U. Eisen 93 (1973) 645.
- K. STILLER, L. E. SEVENSSON, P. R. HOWELL, W. RONG, H. O. ARDEN and G. L. DUNLOP, Acta Metall. 32 (1984) 1457.
- .21. A. M. EL-RAKOBY and B. MILLS, Mater. Sci. Technol. 2 (1986) 175.
- 22. R. J. CAWSTON and DAVY-LOWEY UK Patent 2114605 A.
- 23. R. M. GERMAN, Int. J. Powder Metall. 22 (1986) 31.
- S. TAKAJO and M. NITTA, Proceedings 6th World Round Table Conference on Sintering, Yugoslavia, 1985 (Kawasaki Steel Corporation, Chiba, Japan, 1985).

Received 5 July 1988 and accepted 4 January 1989